

CODEBOOK: 2000-2004 American National Election Study Panel Subset (anespanl.sav)

A subset of the *National Election Study 2000-2002-2004 Full Panel File*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan, Center for Political Studies [producer and distributor].

Based on data and codebook downloaded from the National Election Studies web site at <http://www.umich.edu/~nes/>.

This file includes those respondents interviewed in all three election years. A weight variable has been included to adjust for known or estimated sample biases, included those due to sample attrition. The file contains a total of 840 respondents.

Variable names have been changed to make them easier to identify. The original variable names are provided in the list that follows. In a few cases, a variable included in this subset was derived from two or more variables in the original data file.

VARIABLE NAME	ORIGINAL NAME(S)	VARIABLE LABEL
--------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------

Note: numbers in parentheses indicate the year the question was asked.

Background variables (ethnic and gender are from the 2002 study; other background variables are from the 2000 study):

wt04	WT04	Panel Weight
region	M000092	Census region
ideology	M000446	Liberal/conservative self-placement - 7-point scale
relserv	M000877 & M000879 & M000880	Attend religious services how often?
yearborn	M000907	Year of birth
marstat	M000909	Marital status
educate	M000913	Respondent education level
ethnic	M023150 & M023151	Race/ethnicity of respondent
gender	M023153	Respondent gender

War in Iraq:

iraq	M045087	Was Iraq war worth the cost? (2004)
----------------------	---------	-------------------------------------

Party identification:

ptyid300	M000519	Respondent party ID, 3-point scale (2000)
ptyid302	M023036	Respondent party ID, 3-point scale (2002)
ptyid304	M045058	Respondent party ID, 3-point scale (2004)
ptyid700	M000523	Respondent party ID, 7-point scale (2000)
ptyid702	M023038x	Respondent party ID, 7-point scale (2002)

VARIABLE NAME	ORIGINAL NAME(S)	VARIABLE LABEL
ptyid704	M045058x	Respondent party ID, 7-point scale (2004)
ptystr00		Strength of PARTY ID, 2000
ptystr04		Strength of PARTY ID, 2004
Voting:		
p200000	M001249	Respondent vote cast in 2000 presidential election (2000)
p200002	M023111	Respondent vote cast in 2000 presidential election (2002)
p200004	M045003a	Respondent vote cast in 2000 presidential election (2004)
p2004	M045049A	Respondent vote cast in 2004 presidential election (2004)
h2000	M001263	Respondent vote cast in 2000 house election (2000)
h2002	M025028b	Respondent vote cast in 2002 house election (2002)
h2004	M045053	Respondent vote cast in 2004 house election (2004)
Opinion of other people:		
worthy00	M001475	Are people trustworthy? (2000)
worthy02	M025101	Are people trustworthy? (2002)
worthy04	M045158	Are people trustworthy? (2004)
fair00	M001476	Are people fair? (2000)
fair02	M025102	Are people fair? (2002)
fair04	M045159	Are people fair? (2004)
help00	M001477	Are people helpful? (2000)
help02	M025103	Are people helpful? (2002)
help04	M045160	Are people helpful? (2004)
Opinion of government:		
gtrust00	M001534	Can gov't be trusted? (2000)
gtrust02	M025174	Can gov't be trusted? (2002)
gtrust04	M045149	Can gov't be trusted? (2004)
Thermometers:		
bush00pr	M001294	George Bush Thermometer (2000 pre-election)
bush00po	M001294	George Bush Thermometer (2000 post-election)
bush02	M023010	George Bush Thermometer (2002)
bush04	M045007	George Bush Thermometer (2004)
nadr00pr	M000363	Ralph Nader Thermometer (2000 pre-election)
nadr00po	M001295	Ralph Nader Thermometer (2000 postelection)
nadr02	M023014	Ralph Nader Thermometer (2002)
nadr04	M045012	Ralph Nader Thermometer (2004)

wt04: Sample weight

This variable is used to correct for known or estimated sampling biases, including those resulting from inability to re-interview some respondents in the post-election survey.

region Census region

1. Northeast (CT,ME,MA,NH,NJ,NY,PA,RI,VT)
2. Midwest (IL,IN,IA,KS,MI,MN,MO,NE,ND,OH,SD,WI)
3. South (AL,AR,DE,DC,FL,GA,KY,LA,MD,MS,NC,OK,SC,TN,TX,VA,WV)
4. West (AK,AZ,CA,CO,HI,ID,MT,NM,NV,OR,UT,WA,WY)

ideology: Liberal/conservative self-placement - 7-point scale

1. Extremely liberal
2. Liberal
3. Slightly liberal
4. Moderate; middle of the road
5. Slightly conservative
6. Conservative
7. Extremely conservative
8. Don't Know
9. Refused
0. No answer

relserv: Attend religious services how often?

Lots of things come up that keep people from attending religious services even if they want to. Thinking about your life these days, do you ever attend religious services, apart from occasional weddings, baptisms or funerals? IF RESPONDENT ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES: Do you go to religious services EVERY WEEK, ALMOST EVERY WEEK, ONCE OR TWICE A MONTH, A FEW TIMES A YEAR, or NEVER? IF RESPONDENT SAYS ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES 'EVERY WEEK': Would you say you go to religious services ONCE A WEEK or MORE OFTEN than once a week?

1. More than once a week
2. Every week
3. Almost every week
4. Once or twice a month
5. A few times a year
6. Never
- . Don't Know/Refused/Not applicable

yearborn: Year of birth

What is the month, day and year of your birth?

9998. DK
9999. RF
0000. NA

marstat: Marital status

Are you married now and living with your (husband/wife) -- or are you widowed, divorced, separated, or have you never married?

1. Married
2. Widowed
3. Divorced
4. Separated
5. Never married
6. Partnered, not married {VOL}
8. Don't know
9. Refused

educate: Respondent education level

What is the highest grade of school or year of college you have completed? Did you get a high school diploma or pass a high school equivalency test? What is the highest degree that you have earned?

1. 8 grades or less and no diploma or equivalency
2. 9-11 grades, no further schooling (incl. 12 years without diploma or equivalency)
3. High school diploma or equivalency test
4. More than 12 years of schooling, no higher degree
5. Junior or community college level degrees (AA degrees)
6. BA level degrees; 17+ years, no advanced degree
7. Advanced degree, including LLB
9. Don't Know/Refused/No answer

ethnic: Race/ethnicity of Respondent

1. Black
2. Asian
3. Native American
4. Hispanic
5. White
6. Multiracial/Other
7. Don't know
8. Refused
9. No answer

gender: Respondent gender

1. Male
2. Female

iraq:

Taking everything into account, do you think the war in Iraq has been WORTH THE COST or NOT?

1. Worth it
5. Not worth it
8. Don't know
9. Refused

ptyid300: Respondent party ID, 3-point scale (2000)

ptyid302: Respondent party ID, 3-point scale (2002)

ptyid304: Respondent party ID, 3-point scale (2004)

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a REPUBLICAN, a DEMOCRAT, an INDEPENDENT, or what?

1. Republican
2. Independent
3. Democrat
4. Other party {SPECIFY}
5. No preference
8. Don't know
9. Refused
0. No answer

ptyid700: Respondent party ID, 7-point scale (2000)

ptyid702: Respondent party ID, 7-point scale (2002)

ptyid704: Respondent party ID, 7-point scale (2004)

Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a REPUBLICAN, a DEMOCRAT, an INDEPENDENT, or what? Would you call yourself a STRONG [Democrat/Republican] or a NOT VERY STRONG [Democrat/Republican]? Do you think of yourself as CLOSER to the Republican Party or to the Democratic party?

0. Strong Democrat
1. Weak Democrat
2. Independent-Democrat
3. Independent-Independent
4. Independent-Republican
5. Weak Republican
6. Strong Republican
7. Other; minor party; refuses to say

- 8. Apolitical
- 9. DK

Note: Code 8 (apolitical) was used if Respondent was coded No preference and also showed little or no interest in politics in response to the following survey questions:

Interest in campaigns
Care about Congressional race outcome
Voted
Follow public affairs

ptystr00: Strength of Party ID, 2000
ptystr04: Strength of Party ID, 2004

- 1. Strong
 - 2. Weak
 - 3. Leaning
 - 4. Pure Independent
 - 9. DK
-

p200000: Respondent vote cast in 2000 presidential election (2000)
p200002: Respondent vote cast in 2000 presidential election (2002)
p200004: Respondent vote cast in 2000 presidential election (2004)

- 1. Gore
- 3. Bush
- 5. Nader
- 7. Other
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- 0. Not applicable
- . Not applicable

p2004 Respondent vote cast in 2004 presidential election

- 1. Kerry
- 3. Bush
- 5. Nader
- 7. Other
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- . Not applicable

h2000 Respondent vote cast in 2000 house election
h2002 Respondent vote cast in 2002 house election
h2004 Respondent vote cast in 2004 house election

1. Democratic
2. Republican
3. Other
8. Don't Know
9. Refused
0. NA
- . NA

worthy00: Are people trustworthy? (2000)
worthy02: Are people trustworthy? (2002)
worthy04: Are people trustworthy? (2004)

Generally speaking, would you say that MOST PEOPLE CAN BE TRUSTED or that you CAN'T BE TOO CAREFUL in dealing with people?

1. Most people can be trusted
5. Can't be too careful
8. Don't know
9. Refused

fair00: Are people fair (2000)?
fair02: Are people fair (2000)?
fair04: Are people fair (2004)?

Do you think most people would try to TAKE ADVANTAGE of you if they got the chance or would they TRY TO BE FAIR?

1. Take advantage
5. Try to be fair
8. Don't know
9. Refused
- . NA

help00: Are people helpful? (2000)
help02: Are people helpful? (2002)
help04: Are people helpful? (2004)

Would you say that most of the time people TRY TO BE HELPFUL, or that they are JUST LOOKING OUT FOR THEMSELVES?

1. Try to be helpful
5. Just looking out for themselves

- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused
- . NA

gtrust00: Can gov't be trusted? (2000)
gtrust02: Can gov't be trusted? (2002)
gtrust04: Can gov't be trusted? (2004)

How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Washington to do what is right -- JUST ABOUT ALWAYS, MOST OF THE TIME, or only SOME OF THE TIME?

- 1. Just about always
- 2. Most of the time
- 3. Only some of the time
- 4. Never {VOL}
- 8. Don't know
- 9. Refused

“Feeling Thermometers”: I'd like to get your feelings toward some of our political leaders and other people who are in the news these days. I'll read the name of a person and I'd like you to rate that person using something we call the feeling thermometer. Ratings between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favorable and warm toward the person. Ratings between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel favorable toward the person and that you don't care too much for that person. You would rate the person at the 50 degree mark if you don't feel particularly warm or cold toward the person. If we come to a person whose name you don't recognize, you don't need to rate that person. Just tell me and we'll move on to the next one.

bush00pr: George Bush Thermometer (2000 pre-election)
bush00po: George Bush Thermometer (2000 post-election)
bush02: George Bush Thermometer (2002)
bush04: George Bush Thermometer (2004)
nadr00pr: Ralph Nader Thermometer (2000 pre-election)
nadr00po: Ralph Nader Thermometer (2000) post-election)
nadr02: Ralph Nader Thermometer (2002)
nadr04: Ralph Nader Thermometer (2004)

0-100

999 MISSING DATA (NO ANSWER, DON'T RECOGNIZE, DON'T KNOW, REFUSED TO ANSWER)

Last updated October 10, 2016.

© 2016 John L. Korey. Licensed under a  Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License.